Richland 1 Middle College

316 S. Beltline Blvd.

Columbia, South Carolina 29205

Grades 11-12 High School

Enrollment 102 Students

Principal Audrey L. Breland 803-738-7114

Superintendent Dr. Allen J. Coles 803–231–7500

Board Chair Lane Quinn 803-231-7556

2006 ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

ABSOLUTE RATING

N/AV

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 6 8 10 7 1

IMPROVEMENT RATING

N/AV

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

N/A

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

> http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD							
Year	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress				
2003	N/A	N/A	N/A				
2004	N/A	N/A	N/A				
2005	N/A	N/A	N/A				
2006	N/AV	N/AV	N/A				

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS								
Our School				'	gh Schools w dents Like O			
Damaant	2004	l 2005	1 2000					
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006		
Passed 2 subtests	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	74.1		
Passed 1 subtest	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	12.1		
Passed no subtests	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	13.8		

HSAP PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2006		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	N/A	88.2%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP		
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	0.0	9.5
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	0.0	9.7
Seniors who met the grade point average	48.8	46.7

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of Students	51	228
Number of Diplomas	43	167
Rate	84.3%	75.7%

END OF COURSE TESTS						
Percent of students scoring 70 or above on:	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours				
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	N/A	79.4				
English 1	N/A	60.9				
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	N/A	53.7				
Physical Science	N/A	39.7				
All Subjects	N/A	58.7				

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS								
	1	HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2006		for LIFE arship	Graduation Rate			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective	
All Students	N/A	N/A	41	0.0	43	84.3		
Gender								
Male	N/A	N/A	15	0.0	18	88.9		
Female	N/A	N/A	26	0.0	33	81.8		
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	N/A	N/A	3	0.0	6	50.0		
African American	N/A	N/A	38	0.0	45	88.9		
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A		
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A		
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group								
Non disabled	N/A	N/A	41	0.0	51	84.3		
Disabilities other than speech	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A		
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	N/A	N/A	41	0.0	51	84.3	<u> </u>	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A		
Non-Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	41	0.0	51	84.3		
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	N/A	N/A	11	100.0	11	100.0		
Full-pay meals	N/A	N/A	31	0.0	40	80.0		

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

HEAD	DEDEOL	MANCE B	CDOUD
HSAP	PERFOR	RMANCE B	Y GROUP

HOAF TERTORMANCE DI GRO	٥.								
	Enrollment 1st Day of Text	"Selling " Tested	% Below Basis	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objection	Participation Objective M.
	/ " a	/	/ %	/	/ ``	/ "`	% &	120	1-01
En	glish/Lan		s - State	Performa	nce Objec	ctive = 52	.3%		
All Students	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Gender									
Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Migrant Status					,				
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
English Proficiency					,				
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Full-pay meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
		0/ /	D (01.		2.00/			

M	lathemati	cs - State	Performa	ance Obje	ctive = 50	0.0%		
All Students	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Gender								
Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Disability Status								
Not Disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Full-pay meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Student attendance in this school *or greater than last year

SCHOOL PROFILE

	Our School		inge from ast Year	Hig Scho with Stu Like C	ols idents	Median High School	
Students (n= 102)							
Retention rate Attendance rate	4.6% 99.6%	Down fr Up from	om 9.1% 96.4%	!	7.0% 95.3%	7.0% 95.5%	
Eligible for gifted and talented	0.0%		0		7.0%	7.9%	
With disabilities other than speech	0.0%		•		12.7%	12.3%	
Older than usual for grade	12.7%				9.4%	9.5%	
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	0.0%				0.9%	1.2%	
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	0.0% N/A	No char N/A	nge		8.5% N/A	11.2% N/A	
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship*	0.0%				9.0%	10.2%	
Annual dropout rate	7.6%				3.5%	2.8%	
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	N/A	N/A			6.5%	3.5%	
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	19	Up from	4		569	448	
Students participating in worked-based experiences	N/A	N/A		·	40.6%	24.2%	
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	84.2%	N/A		;	80.1%	80.0%	
Career/technology completers placed * Using only SAT/ACT and Grade Point Average requirem Teachers (n= 13)		N/A	_	!	97.2%	99.1%	
Teachers with advanced degrees	50.0%	Up from	40.0%		50.0%	55.5%	
Continuing contract teachers	N/AV	,			N/AV	N/AV	
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.0%	N/A			9.0%	9.6%	
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	28.6%	Down fr	om 33.3%		10.5%	9.9%	
Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A			87.3%	86.3%	
Teacher attendance rate			om 95.9%		95.1%	95.3%	
Average teacher salary	, , ,	Up 8.3%			12,334	\$42,943	
Prof. development days/teacher	13.8 days	Down in	om 17.8 days	12.	3 days	11.2 days	
School	0.0		4.0		0.0	0.0	
Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	2.0 14.9 to 1	Up from	1.0 om 18.8 to 1	25	3.0 .4 to 1	3.0 25.7 to 1	
Prime instructional time	89.2%		om 90.4%		88.7%	89.3%	
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$10,638		UIII 30.4 /0		6,580	\$6,792	
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	36.2%				56.8%	55.3%	
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	45.2%				62.0%	61.1%	
Opportunities in the arts	Poor	No char	nae		cellent	Excellent	
Parents attending conferences		No char		90.2%		92.8%	
SACS accreditation		No char		Yes		Yes	
Character development * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Excellent	Up from			Good	Good	
Classes in law poyorty and and a set to such that the	u auglific d to a			District		State	
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by high Classes in high poverty schools not taught by high				7.1% 1.5%		6.2% 10.2%	
Classes III riigii poverty seriools net taugiit by riigi	ny quannou teatr		State Object		Met St	ate Objective	
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in	this school		0.0%		Yes		

94.0%*

Yes

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Richland One Middle College (ROMC) at Midlands Technical College (MTC) is a public charter school for eleventh and twelfth graders. This school is a unique educational model for South Carolina charter schools as well as other public schools because it is the first time that a district and a community college in SC have cooperatively formed a planning committee and jointly committed their support to create and to work collaboratively to foster the growth of a middle college.

Prior to the inception of the middle college, only one option existed to serve students in Richland District One outside a traditional high school setting. Therefore, a significant number of students were not being reached. ROMC, a school of choice, helps address this factor by: (1) Implementing and evaluating integrated project-based curriculum that uses current technology and a variety of focused instructional strategies linked to the Middle College concept to meet or exceed statewide standards; (2) Delivering comprehensive guidance and career development strategies using research based practices and techniques appropriate for each student; and (3) Providing students with character education, leadership development, and the generic skill set demanded by employers as critical success factors to prepare for successful transition from the Middle College to post-secondary lifelong learning.

Exemplary features of the 2005-2006 school year include an Individual Graduation Plan (IGP) Support Team assigned to work with each student from enrollment until graduation; development and public presentation of student projects and portfolios at the second annual Academic and Career Excellence (ACE) Banquet and Exhibition and monthly Class Nights; Technical Scholar year-round paid internships and career mentorships; Senior Capstone Project; Early Start dual enrollment program at MTC; mandatory 90 hours of service learning; 4 school-wide days of service learning; a rigorous A, B, C, I, NC grading scale; Extensive systems of Extra Help/Extra Time to support academic achievement - Early Bird seminars and ACE Power Hour at the end of the day for small group learning communities; Student Success Seminars and Field Studies; Advisor/Advisee; Speakers' Bureau, and Corporate Image Day.

The middle college's aspiration to improve student achievement necessitates increased quality of parental involvement, increased professional development opportunities to address research-based best practices, and increased school-community interactions.

Audrey L. Breland, M. Ed., Dean Robert L. Kirton, Ed. D., Executive Director Cynthia Hardy, SIC Chairperson

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS			
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	9	33	15
Percent satisfied with learning environment	66.7%	87.9%	86.7%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	77.8%	87.9%	86.7%
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	88.9%	81.8%	100.0%

^{*}Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.